Innovation & Technology Award

Criteria	Excellent (3pts)	Good (2pts)	Fair (1pt)
	Nominator provided specific details and examples about how the student exceeded the criteria listed	Nominator provided specific details and examples about how the student met the criteria listed	Nominator provided specific details about the nominee's job contributions but did not clearly relate it to the criteria listed
Student employee uses technology to improve efficiency & productivity of their work	Example: Student discovers and implements a new innovative way to be more productive in their work	Example: Student successfully uses existing technology to improve efficiency & productivity	Example: Student performs at a higher level than most student employees but did not give specific examples of the use of innovation or technology
Student employee manages technology to integrate information to support relevant, effective & timely decision making	Example: Student goes above and beyond to manage existing technology in order to validate their effectiveness in decision making	Example: Student consistently manages the use of institutional technology in order to support decision making	Example: Student uses technology, but manager did not relate the effectiveness in the student's decision making
Student employee develops useful ideas that are new, better, or unique	Example: Student has developed new and improved pathways that lead to a more effective way of working	Example: Student developed an idea that was used for one specific contribution but is not unique	Example: Student has developed ideas however, manager does not speak to their uniqueness